



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

|   |             |                      |                                  |                  |
|---|-------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|------------------|
| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.              | CONFIRMATION NO. |
| 09/441,729  | 11/16/1999  | ERIC DAVID BLOCH     | MSI-1073US                       | 4930             |
| 22801   | 7590        | 03/27/2008           |                                  |                  |
| LEE & HAYES PLLC<br>421 W RIVERSIDE AVENUE SUITE 500<br>SPOKANE, WA 99201 |             |                      | EXAMINER<br>PARRY, CHRISTOPHER L |                  |
|   |             |                      | ART UNIT                         | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |             |                      | 2623                             |                  |
|   |             |                      | MAIL DATE                        | DELIVERY MODE    |
|   |             |                      | 03/27/2008                       | PAPER            |

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

**Application No.**

09/441,729

**Applicant(s)**

BLOCH ET AL.

**Examiner**

CHRIS PARRY

**Art Unit**

2623

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) CHRIS PARRY.(3) BRIAN PANGRL (Reg. No. 42,973).(2) SON HUYNH.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 19 March 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant

2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: Z.

Identification of prior art discussed: BILLIRIS ET AL.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed proposed amendment to claimed "playback engine" in claim 7. Agreed with applicant that proposed amendment with further clarification would overcome the art of record. Upon receiving amendment, examiner will give further search and consideration to proposed amendments.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Son P Huynh/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2623

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required